

UNAPPROVED MINUTES
Town of Auburn
Planning Board
PUBLIC HEARING
May 19, 2021

Present: Ron Poltak, Chairman. Jill Dross, Michael O'Callaghan and Jeff Porter, Member. Paula Marzloff & Jess Edwards, Alternates. Michael Rolfe, Selectmen's Representative. Minutes prepared by Denise Royce.

Also Present: Bill Herman, Town Administrator. Joe Falzone, Scott Cole and Attorney Mark Johnson. Dan Tatem, Stantec. Matthew Scott and Attorney Panciocco. Shawn Matte and Deborah Richards.

Absent: None

Mr. Poltak called the meeting to order at 7:07pm. Mr. Poltak asked the Board members to introduce themselves. The Board members introduced themselves to everyone present tonight.

Mr. Poltak moved on to the approval of the minutes of the last meeting.

MINUTES

Mr. Porter moved to approve the minutes for April 21st, 2021 as written. Mr. O'Callaghan seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor and the motion passed.

Mr. Porter moved on to the first item on the agenda which was a discussion with Shawn Matte and Deborah Richards who are requesting an extension of an approved Subdivision Plan that was recorded on December 9, 2016. Mr. Porter asked the applicant to please sit at the table facing the Board and to use the microphone so that everyone in the audience could hear what was being said.

GENERAL BUSINESS

Shawn Matte
On Behalf of Deb Richards
Raymond Road, Tax Map 11, Lot 11-1
Discuss Extension of Approved Plan
Plan Recorded 12/9/16

Ms. Richards began by saying that, she did a subdivision of her property approximately 5 years ago. Ms. Richards stated that they started at the end of 2019 to obtain estimates of putting the road in and they were supposed to begin in the Spring of 2020 and then Covid hit, and everything was shut down and so they had to put a hold on starting so they lost a whole year. Ms. Richards believed they had until the end of the year which would be the 5-year point. Ms. Richards added that, they are before the Board tonight to request an extension to extend that date for another 2 years. Ms. Richards indicated that, Mr. Matte was going to be doing the road and this would allow them to extend their date. Mr. Matte commented that, they are requesting a 2-year extension to allow him enough time to complete the road.

Mr. Poltak commented that, the Planning Board has two new members to the Board that were not part of that process and therefore explained that it was June 15, 2016 that Ms. Richards and Mr. Pease came before the Planning Board for approval of a 3-lot subdivision coming off Raymond Road. There were a series of meetings whereby lengthy discussions occurred and then on June 15, 2016 the Planning Board approved the subdivision plan. With that said, the state statute provides that, there is a 5-year window of opportunity for an applicant that receives subdivision approval in the State of New Hampshire to initiate substantial completion of the project. Mr. Poltak read the statute which was RSA 674:39 that "with substantial improvement to the property, the initial two years is forgiven, and you were blessed with 3 more years in terms of finishing, completing the project to completion. Mr. Poltak stated to Ms. Richards and Mr. Matte that, they were looking for a 2-year extension and stated that, he was not in favor of it and the reason that he was not in favor of it was that 5 years have gone by and they have improved the Town of Auburn's regulations. Mr. Poltak explained that, during that 5-year period they were exempt from any changes to the regulations. Basically, in 26 days that 5-year period is up and that the Town of Auburn has made changes to the regulations. Mr. Poltak did state that, the subdivision approval stays in place but that there may be changes to the regulations that may affect the subdivision plan. Mr. Poltak indicated that, he was not prepared to give them another 2 years which would exempt them from our local land use control. Mr. Poltak was unsure of the changes that have taken place and would like time to investigate if any changes need to occur. Mr. Poltak again reiterated that, nothing has happened on that piece of property in 5 years and regarding substantial improvements, there is nothing that can happen within the next 26 days because there is no security in place and there has been no pre-construction meeting conducted to date.

In conclusion, what Mr. Poltak would like to do is have Stantec take a look to see if any changes have taken place. Mr. Poltak stated that, the approval remains in place and is asking for two weeks to see where we stand with the 5-year approval as it relates to this project of which would be a cost that Ms. Richards and/or Mr. Matte would have to bear which has to do with the fact that, no substantial improvements have been done to the property. Mr. Poltak pointed out a statute and suggested that they review statute RSA 676:4-a(1)(d) and it talks about the appropriate process to move forward. Mr. Poltak stated that, this was the first time that this has ever happened. Mr. Poltak explained that, what the extension would do which he is opposed to was to allow them to move forward with a 5-year-old approval where current land use controls do not apply. They had a free rein

for 5-years and it has come and gone. Mr. Poltak asked the Board members for a motion be made that, with respect to that provision in law that we ask Stantec to review and to assess the completion of the project with current applicable town ordinances and regulations. At this time, Mr. Poltak turned to the Board members.

Ms. Richards stated that, she understands what Mr. Poltak is saying but if it goes to Stantec and they look at it and commented that, they do not have Mr. Pease anymore. A brief discussion ensued with regard to what would occur. Mr. Poltak indicated that, they would first have to figure out the applicability of the project as it was approved and check to make sure that there are no changes. Mr. Poltak also pointed out the runoff onto Raymond Road and understood there was some discussion in the minutes, and they will have to determine what will occur with the project if there are any changes. Stantec will do a review and report back to the Board and then they would move forward and meet with Ms. Richards at that time. Mr. Poltak added that, he will ask the Board to move the employment of Stantec for this purpose and there would be a cost associated with it and then they would get back to Ms. Richards as she would have to assume and agree too. It will be a step-by-step process and the results of that assessment would be shared with her and Mr. Matte.

Mr. Porter asked that, with regards to a plan that has never been worked on would not that now mean that they would need to follow all regulations under the current statutes that we have in place. Mr. Poltak answered by saying that, vesting is absolute for 2 years and if substantial improvements do not happen with regard to what the statute says, you can have up to 5 years, but it depends upon the conditions of approval that the Planning Board made when the project was finalized in terms of final approval. The definition of substantial improvements is something that each and every Planning Board that when we approve a project it is incumbent upon that Planning Board to put conditions of approval respectful of defining substantial improvement to the property. It depends on the situation. A brief discussion ensued with regard to substantial development and the fact that no development has occurred.

With that said, Mr. Poltak asked the Board to make a motion.

Mr. Rolfe made a motion that, with respect to that provision in law that we ask Stantec to review and to assess the completion of the project with current applicable town ordinances and regulations for the 3 Lot Subdivision Plan approved on June 15, 2016 and recorded on December 9, 2016. Ms. Dross seconded the motion.

Mr. Porter added that, there has been no development activity on this project and believed that the board should first deny their request for a 2-year extension. With that said, Mr. Rolfe rescinded his previous motion.

Mr. Porter made a motion to deny the request for a 2-year extension for the 3 Lot Subdivision Plan approved on June 15, 2016 and recorded on December 9, 2016. Mr. Rolfe seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor to deny the request for a 2-year extension.

At this time, Mr. Rolfe now renewed his previous motion. Mr. Edwards assumed that there was a plan on file and if Stantec does exactly what Mr. Poltak is saying that what they will see is any changes that would need to be made to the plan. Mr. Poltak said yes, and reiterated that, the plan was approved on June 15, 2016 and recorded in December of 2016 that goes on in perpetuity and now we will see if that plan applies to current regulations.

Mr. Rolfe made a motion that, with respect to that provision in law that we ask Stantec to review and to assess the completion of the project with current applicable town ordinances and regulations for the 3 Lot Subdivision Plan approved on June 15, 2016 and recorded on December 9, 2016. Ms. Dross seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor and the motion passed.

Mr. Poltak informed Ms. Richards and Mr. Matte that the Board would get back to them. Ms. Richards and Mr. Matte thanked the Board for their time and the discussion ended. Mr. Poltak moved on to the first part of the Public Hearing and called Mr. Scott up at this time.

PUBLIC HEARING

**Eric Mitchell
On Behalf of Matthew Scott
HQ Properties Realty Trust
15 King Street, Tax Map 25, Lot 47
Zoned Industrial
Major Site Plan Review
(Continued from April 21, 2021)**

Mr. Bernie Temple passed out copies of the proposed plan that consisted of 14 pages to all the Board members. Mr. Temple began by explaining the site and location and that he has been working with Mr. Scott with the redevelopment of this site. Mr. Temple went through the existing conditions which include the bus terminal. This would be done in two phases with the first phase including the relocation of the dome building and the construction of a 6-unit contractor bay building and would continue having the two-way traffic flow. The drainage and new septic would be included at this time as well. In June 2022 the buses will be out of there. The second phase would consist of the removal of the buses which will be done at the end of June and the construction of the 8-unit contractor bay building as well as the 3-unit contractor bay building up next to where the bus building is located. Mr. Temple also pointed out that a new septic system will be installed, and that the property is serviced by Manchester Water. Mr. Temple showed the

dumpster location and the location of the new septic system. Mr. Temple mentioned that, they received Mr. Tatem's comments today and there was nothing earth shattering that they could not deal with. Mr. Temple pointed out that there were two (2) waivers that they are requesting with the first one being a waiver request from the driveway entrance to slope down at 2 percent for 37 feet and then the detention pond needs to be 4 to 1 and they are seeking it to be 3 to 1. Mr. Temple stated that, that concluded his presentation and asked if they had any questions.

Mr. Poltak explained that, this site has been before them a few times and that most recently, Mr. Tatem along with himself included and Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Rolfe walked the site and believed that this would be a significant improvement to what is up there now and once the buses leave there will be less traffic. Mr. Poltak asked Mr. Tatem to bring the Board up to date on what is going on. Mr. Tatem indicated that, they have completed the review of the plans today and like Mr. Temple stated that, there were a number of technical comments that were minor in nature and have discussed them with Mr. Temple and there were no issues. Mr. Tatem went on to say that, the two (2) waiver requests that they were proposing that they did not have any issues with and pointed out that they were typical requests that have been asked by this board. Mr. Tatem did state that, they do need to get written approvals by Manchester Water Works and written approval from the Auburn Fire Department for fire access to the buildings. There are some minor construction details that need to be revised and there were some minor drainage issues but did not see that there was any issue that cannot be resolved.

Mr. Scott stated that, he has spoken with Manchester Water Works and was surprised that the e-mail did not go through yet, but it is coming. Mr. Scott indicated that, there was more than ample amount of water on the property. Mr. Scott also mentioned that, he has met with the Fire Chief last week and he was going to send an e-mail over to the Board tonight but has met with the fire department on three (3) occasions. Mr. Poltak thanked Mr. Scott for his comments.

Mr. Poltak turned to the Board members and asked if they had any questions or comments. Mr. Porter asked where the snow storage locations and the dumpster locations. Mr. Temple indicated that there were three (3) dumpsters on the site plan and pointed them out for the Board members on page 2 of the plan set. A brief discussion ensued with regard to snow storage locations and all the runoff remaining on the site.

Mr. Rolfe asked about traffic patterns and thought they had talked about one way in and one way out. Mr. Temple indicated that, until the buses leave that the traffic pattern would remain two ways and then in Phase II when the bus company leaves that the traffic pattern would be one way in and one way out. Mr. Scott added that the buses would be gone by June 30th of 2022 and they would start July 1st, 2022. Mr. Tatem pointed out that, one of their comments was regarding phasing and indicated that he would like Mrs. Rouleau-Cote's input on the number of building permits can be issued per phase and believed that this would be treated differently but wanted Mrs. Rouleau-Cote's input.

Mr. Rolfe wanted to know the number for the square footage of what was cleared and asked if it were an acre or more that we would need an intent to cut. Mr. Temple did not believe it was over an acre. Mr. Scott stated that he had spoken with Mrs. Rouleau-Cote and asked her if they needed an intent to cut and she indicated that, it was not over an acre that would be cut and so they went from there.

Mr. O'Callaghan asked about parking spaces per unit. Mr. Temple explained that, each of these units have a little bit of office and warehouse. They have 3 spaces per unit which each unit has one more space that we did not even show. Mr. Scott stated that, they have allotted 3 parking spots per unit, but they actually have 4 per unit so for the 17 units that will be rented they have 3 parking spaces shown and one parking space that is not shown.

Mr. Poltak suggested that the Board provide conditional approval to the project and prior to that we have to take up the two (2) waiver request that are pretty standard for sites of this nature. Mr. Poltak asked if anyone had a problem with that. Mr. Rolfe asked Mr. Tatem about pavement and asked if he would rather see 2½ and 1½. Mr. Tatem said yes. Mr. Rolfe also agreed and would like to see that as well.

Mr. Poltak further pointed out that, the end result of that is that he would be asking Mr. Scott and his team to work with Mr. Tatem to work through all of the details on the outstanding items in Stantec's letter and then they will come back to the Board to report that it has led to the completion of the project.

Mr. Poltak asked Mr. Tatem about the two (2) waivers and how they need to read.

Mr. Porter made a motion to approve the waiver request from Section 10.07(39) to allow the potential side slopes of the detention pond to be 3 to 1 where the regulations require 4 to 1 for Major Site Plan Review for King Street, Tax Map 25, Lot 47. Mr. Rolfe seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor and the motion passed.

Mr. O'Callaghan made a motion to approve the waiver request from Section 10.08(3) to allow the driveway to come in at 2 percent for 37 feet and then transition to 5 percent instead of the required 2 percent for 80 feet for Major Site Plan Review for King Street, Tax Map 25, Lot 47. Mr. Porter seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor and the motion passed.

At this time, Mr. Poltak asked the Board members to pursue conditional approval and asked Mr. Scott and Mr. Temple when they would like to come back before the Board to let the Board know that all the comments in Stantec's letter have all been addressed. Basically, when can they move to final approval. Mr. Temple stated that, it would be done within a month. Mr. Tatem commented that, usually they do not have the applicant come back after receiving conditional approval. Mr. Poltak wanted the applicant to come back before the Board to indicate that everything has been addressed.

Mr. Porter made a motion for conditional approval for Major Site Plan Review for King Street, Tax Map 25, Lot 47 based on acceptance of Stantec's Review. Mr. Rolfe seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor and the motion passed.

Mr. Tatem asked when they needed to come back. Mr. Poltak indicated that, Ms. Royce has informed him that the Planning Board has the school gymnasium reserved for Wednesday, June 16th at 7:00pm. This is the only notice that they would receive as no further notices would be going out. The discussion ended and the Chairman requested a short recess before starting in on the 55 and older community development.

The Board took a short break at 8:00pm.

The Board resumed the meeting at 8:16pm.

**Joseph Falzone
Auburn School District c/o SAU #15
Dollard Road, Tax Map 10, Lot 19
Major Site Plan Review
Auburn Heights (78 Lot Condominium Subdivision)
78 Single Family Detached – 55 and Older Housing**

Mr. Poltak wanted to share with everyone present tonight that, at the last meeting, we had a conceptual discussion with regard to the property located off of Dollard Road and Hooksett Road and informed everyone that, everyone's concerns with the development coming off of Dollard Road that, that will not be happening now. The access will be directly out onto Hooksett Road and it will be aligned with Old Candia Road. Mr. Poltak further added that, this application is in the design phase of the application process. The design that everyone saw at the last meeting is no longer in effect the design as the entrance has changed and therefore the design changed. Mr. Poltak stated that, Stantec has done a review and Mr. Tatem and Mr. Poltak have met with the developer and their team and they went over that design and made some suggestions for improvement. Mr. Poltak wanted to take up some issues that were of concern to everyone such as blasting, materials development and water. Mr. Falzone has his expertise here and we have our expertise here and with that said, turned the meeting over to Mr. Falzone.

Mr. Falzone turned the meeting over to Scott Cole. At this time, Mr. Scott Cole of Beal's & Associates, Design Engineer for the project began by saying that, as the Chairman stated, they have made some design changes to the project. Mr. Cole had some small copies of the revised plan and passed them out to the Board members and some of the interested parties that were present at tonight's hearing. Mr. Cole went on to explain the location of the new entrance that would come off of Hooksett Road directly across from Old Candia Road. Mr. Cole pointed out that, although this was in draft form, it has been recommended that they would be probably installing left turn lanes both onto the project and onto Old Candia Road which would also require some road widening. Mr. Cole moved on to discuss the interior of the plan and how they made the loop a little larger

which in turn removes three (3) of the smaller dead ends that they had which allows for a smoother circulation within the project. Mr. Cole talked about the turnarounds and snow removal. Mr. Cole also informed the Board that they had Jeffrey Dirk of Vanasse & Associates, Traffic Engineer who will talk about traffic issues. Following Mr. Dirk will be Bruce Lewis, Engineer who will talk about the wells and the water system and then following them, they have invited Tom Severino of Severino Construction who will be talking about blasting and material. With that said, Mr. Cole indicated that, if there are no questions for him that he would like to turn the discussion over to Mr. Dirk.

Mr. Edwards asked where the road would be coming out. Mr. Cole indicated where the entrance location would be on the proposed plan. Ms. Dross asked for someone to explain how they came up with coming out onto Hooksett Road as opposed to Dollard Road. Mr. Cole explained that, they had to have the surveyor go out to re-establish the right of way on Hooksett Road because they actually thought that the roads did not line up because they would not be able to do that as it has to be directly across and second it was because of grading issues which were better than coming off of Dollard Road.

At this time, Mr. Dirk began his portion of the presentation. Mr. Dirk stated that, Hooksett Road has about 6,000 vehicles a day on it and Dollard Road has about 200. Mr. Dirk talked about the geology of Hooksett Road being much better than Dollard Road. Mr. Dirk went on to talk about the traffic study on the Alternative Access Review, a copy of which is located in the Planning Board file. Mr. Poltak asked what the peak hours would be. Mr. Dirk stated that the peak hours of traffic would be from 7:30 to 8:30am in the morning and 4:30pm to 5:30pm in the evening. Mr. Dirk also pointed out that, sight distance coming out of the project and coming out of Old Candia Road far exceed the sight distance for 45 mph.

Next, Mr. Lewis explained about the wells and water system for the project. Mr. Lewis started out by passing out copies of a Domestic Water System Development Summary to each of the Board members. A copy of which is available in the Planning Board file. Mr. Lewis went through the permitting process which is all regulated by the State of New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services. Mr. Lewis went through the well testing process, final well report and final engineering design report, construction and start-up. Mr. Lewis pointed out that, they need a minimum of two (2) wells and around each well there is a sanitary protected area. This is an area that is undisturbed which are shown with a circle around the wells on the plan. Mr. Lewis went on to talk about approvals and once that is done the wells have to be tested for both quantity and quality of water and meet all federal regulations. Mr. Lewis talked about the well testing and that they ran the wells for 72 hours straight and that the wells recovered after that. Water quality was excellent. Mr. Lewis stated that, there was a Water Conservation Plan that was submitted of which a copy would be provided to the Town of Auburn for their files. All homes will be installed with fire suppression sprinkler systems.

In conclusion, Mr. Lewis asked the Board if they had any questions. Mr. Poltak asked Mr. Lewis about the yield and water quality. Mr. Lewis explained in depth about wells and having iron or manganese in the wells and dropped down in capacity. Mr. Poltak asked

about the depth of these two (2) wells and the yield. Mr. Lewis indicated that, one well was 440 feet deep with 16 gallons per minute and the other well was 520 feet deep with 16 gallons per minute. The well pumps themselves were set by Skilling's and Son at 400 feet. Mr. Poltak asked what the anticipated usage on a daily basis would be. Mr. Lewis stated that, the design capacity for a project like this is 200 gallons per day per unit for a two (2) bedroom home and with 80 units would be about 16,000 gallons a day. Mr. Lewis believed it was more like 125 gallons per day per unit.

Mr. Poltak asked the Board members if there were any questions or comments? Mr. O'Callaghan commented that, in looking at the numbers that, they were looking at running the pump for approximately 8 hours a day. Mr. Lewis said that was correct. Mr. O'Callaghan asked if there would be fire hydrants along with sprinkler systems. Mr. Lewis said no, the residential fire systems are very efficient. Mr. Porter asked about a third well for irrigation. Mr. Lewis commented that, the state's preference is if you want to do irrigation that it would be from a separate location and the state would track all of the water use. A brief discussion ensued with regard to a separate well for irrigation. At this time, Mr. Poltak thanked Mr. Lewis for his presentation. Mr. O'Callaghan had one more question which was how big were the lawns that would be irrigated. Mr. Falzone stated that, it would be approximately 3,000 to 4,000 square feet. Ms. Carrier wanted to know when the wells were drilled. Mr. Lewis stated that they were done on October 8th and 9th of 2020.

Mr. Poltak wanted to go into the discussion of blasting. Mr. Tom Severino with Severino Trucking Company in Candia, NH. Mr. Severino stated that, he has worked with Mr. Falzone for approximately 20 years. Mr. Severino commented that, their main line of work is road construction, municipal work, utility work, and highway construction. They employ over 165 people and do a little over 50 million dollars of work. They are very accustomed to blasting, drilling and crushing. Mr. Severino indicated that, it is very efficient for this project to crush onsite. Mr. Severino went on to say that, the first step is the pre-blast survey, so the state regulates that within a 250-foot radius of this site that they conduct a pre-blast survey. The company that would be doing this project would be Maine, Drilling & Blasting would send out certified letters. In this town there is no permit for blasting as they just have to notify the Fire Department. The second part of this that is regulated through the state, the applicant would apply for an Alteration of Terrain permit from DES, and they would put together a blasting plan and submit with the Alteration of Terrain permit. Mr. Severino went into great detail that, the survey is done on the wells before, during and after. They test to the north, south, east and west and people can be notified by phone or e-mail of the blasting if they so choose just so people are aware. They choose two (2) wells in each of those directions. Mr. Severino mentioned decibels for noise on their crushing equipment.

In conclusion, Mr. Severino believed that was all he had and asked if there were any questions at this time. Mr. Porter asked what the hours of operation would be. Mr. Severino indicated that, their normal hours of operation are from 7:00am until 4:30pm. Mr. Poltak stated that, they would get into that further down the line. Mr. Poltak asked Mr. Severino how he can assure people that their foundations would not crack. Mr.

Severino explained that he would probably have to have Maine, Drilling and Blasting answer that and talked about the pre-blast survey and they also have vibration monitoring, and they have to meet certain frequencies. Mr. Severino also mentioned that, MD&B would set up vibration monitors on the closest residence and may also set up a few at different locations if requested. Mr. Severino added that, you do not want to not take the pre-blast survey as they take pictures and if there ever is a problem, that is what the pre-blast survey is for and if there is a problem, they have to fix it.

Mr. Poltak asked Mr. Falzone if this would be done in phases. Mr. Falzone said yes. Mr. Poltak asked if the blasting would take place all at once or would this be done in phases. Mr. Falzone said that it would be done in phases. A brief discussion ensued with regard to phases and houses being built while blasting is occurring. Mr. Severino pointed out that there was approximately 15,000 yards of ledge to be blasted which to them is a minor amount and they probably drill and blast about 1,000 yards a day. Mr. Poltak thanked Mr. Severino for his presentation.

Mr. Poltak asked Mr. Cole if there was anything else that they would like to share with us. Mr. Cole asked if the Board if the application needed to be accepted in order for them to move forward. Mr. Poltak said no and explained that, because of Covid, the Governor has allowed the Boards to take the appropriate time necessary. Mr. Poltak explained that, the minute they accept the application the clock starts ticking. Mr. Poltak added that, when they are before the Board on June 16th that, they would be going through the final design review and going through the nitty gritty and Mr. Tatem's review. Mr. Rolfe asked Mr. Cole to indicate where the location of the septic systems would be. Mr. Cole pointed out that there would be three (3) septic bed locations and pointed them out on the plan for the Board members.

Mr. Poltak asked the abutters if there were any questions or comments. Mr. Dollard wanted to thank the Board members for listening to them and changing the location of the access to the development to come off of Hooksett Road as opposed to Dollard Road. Ms. Carrier commented about their wells as they just moved into their home in December and that one of their wells will already have to have an additional water treatment system or a new well. Mr. Poltak had a discussion with Ms. Carrier and informed her that they were just beginning to draw water from this new well and went through what occurs when drawing from a new well and your getting that first flush with all the iron and minerals in the water. Ms. Carrier asked if their well changes do they have a recourse. Mr. Severino commented that, they did. Ms. Carrier asked about the pre-blast survey. Mr. Severino stated that, there was an independent surveyor that comes and does the pre-blast survey and if anyone within 250 feet of the site then they will come out and survey your property.

Mr. Poltak moved on to talk about the 3 percent growth ordinance and went through the numbers for last year. Mr. Poltak also mentioned that, there were other possible subdivisions that would be coming before the Planning Board in the near future. With that said, Mr. Poltak indicated that, they would be coming back for a meeting regarding this development off of Hooksett Road on June 16th and reminded everyone that, there

would be no further notices going out and that this was it so they would return back here at the Auburn Village School Gymnasium at 7:00pm on June 16th.

OTHER BUSINESS

ADJOURN

At this time, Mr. Poltak thanked everyone for their participation tonight and asked for a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Rolfe made a motion to adjourn. Mr. Porter seconded the motion. A vote was taken, all were in favor and the meeting stood adjourned at 9:55pm.

The Planning Board's next scheduled meeting would be set for June 2nd, 2021 at 7:00 pm. Due to Governor Sununu's Emergency Order #12 on March 23rd, all meetings will be held via teleconference until further notice.