

**Town of Auburn  
Board of Selectmen  
April 28, 2014**

**Selectmen Present:** Russell Sullivan, James Headd & Richard Eaton

**Also Present:** Bruce Phillips, Fire Chief, Carrie Rouleau-Cote, Building Inspector; Joanne Linxweiler, Town Clerk; Mike Dross, Road Agent; Adele Frisella, Finance Director; David Paris and John O'Neil, Manchester Water Works; State Senators Sharon Carson and David Boutin, Rene LaBranche, Stantec; Elmer Pease, PD Associates; Ray Pelton, Police Lieutenant; Residents Dorine Remillard, Leslie DeGrandmaison, Cheryl Griffin, Linda Saba, Gail Christiansen, Kim Remillard, Ed Socha, John and Mary Anne Rolfe, Linda Dross, Mickey Rolfe, Mike DiPietro, Paula Marzloff, Jesse Edwards, William Herman, Town Administrator; and Denise Royce, Recording Secretary.

Mr. Sullivan called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. Mr. Sullivan looked to the Fire Chief for a head count as the meeting room can only accommodate a total of 53 people. At this time, Chief Phillips took a head count and informed the Selectmen that they were over capacity. With this in mind, Chief Phillips asked if all non-Auburn residents would kindly step out to allow Auburn residents to enter. A few non-Auburn residents stepped out and Chief Phillips took a second count at which time the total number of people came to 52. Mr. Sullivan indicated that Ray Pelton was on his way which will make the total 53 and asked Chief Phillips to remain at the door to make sure that no one else entered.

Mr. Sullivan had the Board members introduce themselves to everyone present and moved through tonight's agenda.

**Approval of Accounts Payable for Week of April 28<sup>th</sup>, 2014**

***Mr. Eaton moved to approve the Accounts Payable Manifest in the amount of \$117,551.25 for the week of April 28<sup>th</sup>, 2014; Mr. Headd seconded the motion; all were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.***

**Consent Agenda – Week of April 28<sup>th</sup>, 2014**

Supplement Tax Warrant/Land Use Change Tax:

- Espana Building & Development, 79 Winchester Way (Tax Map #2, Lot #9-14) - \$12,500

Abatement/Refund Request:

- Brian J. Pouliot, 16 Wellesley Circle (Tax Map #2, Lot #3-63) - \$502.73 – Refund based on previously granted abatement application

Pistol / Revolver License:

- Seven (7) Licenses

***Mr. Headd moved to approve the Consent Agenda for the week of April 28<sup>th</sup>, 2014 as printed; Mr. Eaton seconded the motion; all were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.***

### **Elmer Pease – Raymond Road Possible 3 Lot Subdivision**

Mr. Pease began his presented before this Board tonight by saying that he was before the Planning Board a few weeks ago with a possible 3 lot subdivision but that it was now reduced to a 2 lot subdivision. The issue remains as to what degree would a portion of Raymond Road have to be upgraded to. Mr. Pease would like to work with the Board of Selectmen as well as the Road Agent as to what degree would the road have to be upgraded. Mr. Sullivan asked Mr. Pease if the portion of road that he was talking about was located on a Class V road and the regulations state that if you are to create a lot within the Town of Auburn that it must be on a paved road. Mr. Pease said yes. Mr. Pease pointed out that the limiting factor was in Article 3, Section 3.04 of the zoning ordinance. In the zoning ordinance, it would require either a variance from the Zoning Board of Adjustment or determination by the Board of Selectmen as to what degree the road would have to be upgraded. Mr. Sullivan agreed and believed that another option for Mr. Pease would be to build a short cul de sac which is allowed under the zoning. Mr. Pease added that if that were to occur that he would like to establish a fund for future upgrade to Raymond Road. Mr. Pease further indicated that he was working with Mark West to determine what the wetland setback would be to a small portion of wetlands on the property to determine whether it would be a 75 foot wetland setback or a 125 foot wetland setback.

Mr. Sullivan asked Mr. Pease what action that he was looking to obtain from the Board of Selectmen. Mr. Pease stated that he would like to get permission from the Board to meet with the Road Agent and if applicable, the Town's Engineer to establish to what degree that the road would need to be upgraded and then come back to meet with the Selectmen to discuss it further. Mrs. Rouleau-Cote, the Building Inspector commented that she was before the Board tonight to make sure that the procedure was carried through properly and pointed out that the actual Article 3 that Mr. Pease pointed out was actually a zoning ordinance that would require Zoning Board action if he did not want to pave the road. Mr. Sullivan agreed with Mrs. Rouleau-Cote. Mrs. Rouleau-Cote explained to the Board of Selectmen that all of the road improvements are typically handled through the Planning Board process at which time the Planning Board will review with Mr. Pease, the Town's Engineer as well as Mr. Pease's Engineer and make a recommendation as to what off-site improvements would be needed. Mr. Sullivan agreed and said that the Board of Selectmen will either agree or disagree. Mr. Pease indicated that he has already met with the Planning Board and that was why he was before this Board tonight to inform him of what his next step would be to take. Mr. Sullivan believed that Mr. Pease would have to work with the Zoning Board of Adjustment if Mr. Pease wanted to subdivide and did not want to pave the road. Mrs. Rouleau-Cote wanted to clarify that Mr. Pease would have to go before the Zoning Board of Adjustment if he did not want to pave a portion of Raymond Road and he wanted to subdivide lots on a Class V gravel road. Mr. Sullivan agreed and stated that the question was what portion of Raymond Road would Mr. Pease have to upgrade. Mrs. Rouleau-Cote indicated that the Planning Board would be in discussions with his engineer with what would be required. Mrs. Rouleau-Cote also wanted to inform the Board of Selectmen that originally, when the lot was purchased that there was no house on it and now the lot has been subdivided five (5) times already without any off-site improvements. Mr. Pease stated that the building official was not at the Planning Board

meeting and that they could review the minutes of that meeting if they would like.

Mr. Sullivan pointed out to Mr. Pease that, as Mrs. Rouleau-Cote stated, normally the Planning Board will recommend to the Selectmen the limits and types of off-site improvements it feels the road would require. Mr. Sullivan further informed Mr. Pease that he was certainly could speak with the Road Agent or Stantec but unfortunately, Mr. Pease would need to visit the Zoning Board of Adjustment if his plan was to not pave a portion of Raymond Road. A brief discussion ensued with regard to paving or not paving a portion of Raymond Road to obtain two (2) additional lots. Mr. Pease said that he would go back to the Planning Board if the Board felt that was the route to take. Mr. Sullivan believed that, at the last Planning Board meeting that the issues were for someone to decide which improvements would be needed. Mr. Pease reiterated the four (4) options he had to the Board members.

Mr. Sullivan asked the Board members for their thoughts. Mr. Headd believed that Mr. Pease should get together with the engineers and put a plan together and then go before the Planning Board. Mr. Pease thought that he could talk to the BOS first to see what their thoughts were but that he did not have a plan of attack at this time. Mr. Sullivan also stated that they will need the Road Agent's input as well. Mr. Pease thanked the Board members for their time and exited the meeting.

### **Manchester Water Works – Horses on Trails**

Chief Phillips informed Mr. Sullivan that there were people out in the hallway that would like to enter that were not Auburn residents so if anyone wanted to leave, they could. Two (2) people exited and two (2) people entered.

Mr. Sullivan wanted to inform everyone present of the following requirements in order for the meeting to continue. 1) He would appreciate that they have a spokesperson speak for everyone as he believes that there will be a lot of the same questions. 2) Once they have discussed a topic that it not the topic is not brought up again. 3) That everyone respects each other and that he would like this discussion to not last more than 30 minutes. Mr. Sullivan also wanted it to be known that this discussion was for informational purposes only and that there would be no decision made by this Board regarding what Manchester Water Works wants to do with their property.

At this time, Mr. Sullivan turned the meeting over to Dave Paris and asked Mr. Paris to bring everyone up to speed. Mr. Paris introduced himself to everyone present and stated that he was the Director of Manchester Water Works for a little over a year and has been with MWW since 1974. Mr. Paris also introduced Mr. John O'Neil who was a Forester with MWW. Mr. Paris pointed out that MWW owns property in Auburn, Candia, Chester and Manchester which the Manchester property is all No Trespassing because of the water treatment plant. Mr. Paris began by saying that, over the years, the MWW property has gone through various stages of being open and closed and various uses have come and gone. MWW bought the property as protection for Lake Massabesic which is an urban watershed which is a shallow lake with approximately 18 feet. Mr. Paris indicated that the quality of the water has degraded over the years and have looked at what could be done to protect the lake and the issue of horseback riding came up approximately 3 or 4 years ago. They have two (2) patrol officers and that there has been more and more horse waste and horses in the lake and on the beaches. They went to DES to write up a standard for it and they put in a regulation that horseback riding in this watershed would only be permitted by permission from MWW so they would have to come and

ask permission from MWW. They later pulled it away and left it without any standard so their water board came up with three (3) restrictions for horseback riding within the Manchester Watershed. 1) Horses would not be allowed in the lake or on the beaches, 2) Horses would be allowed anywhere within the watershed so long as they were wearing a capture device for fecal waste and if the horses don't tolerate that well; then, 3) if the group wants to ride, they would send a letter to the Board and tell them when and where and they would be responsible for waste pick up afterwards. These rules will be based on a property owners rights and stated that he would be happy to answer any questions.

Mr. Sullivan asked if they had a spokesperson. Ms. Dorine Remillard of 18 Haven Drive began her presentation before this Board by showing the BOS a map which shows the areas around Lake Massabesic and pointed out the areas around Little Massabesic and Tower Hill Pond where most of the horseback riding occurs and that it was a great distance from the MWW treatment plant. At this time, Ms. Remillard passed out a packet to the Board members and began giving a synopsis of what has occurred. Ms. Remillard talked about the problem with horse manure and stated that at a public meeting in April of 2011, MWW proposed their changes to DES and it was not DES that proposed the change it was MWW and it was a requirement that the horse manure be picked up immediately by the rider and transported out with them. The offense if adopted by DES would be classified as a misdemeanor and not just a fine. Ms. Remillard further stated that MWW claims were that horse manure contained pathogens cryptosporidium and giardia and presented a danger to the water supply. Ms. Remillard indicated that there are far fewer horses now than there ever were. Over the course of three (3) years, several of them have met with MWW several times and provided them with an abundance of scientific data and studies which showed negative fecal tests that were done on their horses and their wells which were approximately 75 feet from the horse paddocks which have been ignored. Ms. Remillard believes that MWW has made false statements that horse manure can somehow promote the development of ALS which is a genetic disease. Ms. Remillard stated that MWW has not tried to work with them but gave them a couple of options which were to use horse diapers to which they educated them that it was not a device used for trail horses but for carriage horses as it is not attached to the horse it is attached to the carriage which is a big difference and horses must be trained to use that. If it were to be used on a standing horse it is dangerous which is not used by any trail riders. The other option was to ride in an area north of Route 27 which is part of their watershed. They went to look at the area which was quite secluded and upon entering the trail the Water Works sign was completely shot up with bullet holes and the area was littered with broken glass and shot gun shells which are not a safe area to be in other than to target practice. Ms. Remillard stated that they talked about putting up signs in strategic places such as the beaches and did not know what MWW was talking about because there are specific rules that horses are not allowed on the beaches or picnic areas. Ms. Remillard pointed out that the signs are so small that people don't know and that they also offered to pay for and install signs in the areas indicated for beaches and picnic areas for riders to stay out of the water, beaches or picnic areas and MWW said no. They would establish ambassadors as they see violators out there all the time. They would educate riders and especially new riders as well as keep the parking areas clean. They offered to pick up or scatter the manure when it was safe to do so but not everyone can do that because it is hard to get down or back up on the horse if there is nothing for them to climb up on. Ms. Remillard also indicated that they also offered a permit system but MWW did not like any of the options that they provided to them.

Discussion ensued about the ozone treatment that the MWW installed. Ms. Remillard also talked about cryptosporidium and ALS and that the horses do not have these pathogens. Mr.

Sullivan asked Ms. Remillard how long she has been working on this study. Ms. Remillard said since 2011. At this time, Ms. Remillard thanked the Board members for their time. Mr. Sullivan thanked Ms. Remillard for her presentation.

Mr. John O'Neil began by saying that Ms. Remillard was right when she stated that they have had many many meetings. The MWW has often worked with user groups when they have had issues with their use such as mountain bikers and snowmobile clubs which are easy to get in touch with. The MWW first approached the horseback riders around 2010 they were organized but not in one sole group and invited them to a public forum to talk openly and discuss their concern about the feces and find a way to pick it up. Their concern is there is a risk with feces and there have been test on horses that have come back on both sides of the issue and they talked about picking it up. There were a lot of good ideas during discussion and everything was taken into account and the MWW came to the conclusion that the DES rules needed to be renewed this year and they have had meetings in Concord. They did hold a public input session down at the public library where they could voice all their concerns and the Board listened and took everything into account. They have not taken this lightly and they have put a lot of time and effort into this by spending three (3) years trying to work on a solution. Mr. O'Neil commented that he has heard Mr. O'Neil stated that they have done research on the bun bags and that was a possibility. They have gone through the data and have had many many meetings. Further discussion ensued with regard to the bun bag.

Ms. Leslie De Grandmaison of 19 Buttonwood Drive stated that she has ridden the maze the same day every week and has never seen any manure. Most horses that go out on these trails either go by horse trailer or a path right down the road to get there. Cher Griffin of Hooksett Road added that she has lots of horse ties and her point of view is that with the vast amount of horse properties that are owned in Auburn and when they tell them that no one is allowed to ride on MWW property, which a lot of people move to Auburn just for this reason, that their property values will drop 12% to 20%. Ms. Linda Saba of Pingree Hill Road has horses and rides the MWW trails at least three (3) times a week and has never seen either Mr. O'Neil or Mr. Paris out there. Ms. Saba further added that she has never seen anything out there either and would like to see some facts. Ms. Gail Christiansen of Wilson's Crossing Road asked Mr. O'Neil and Mr. Paris what the safe distance from the water's edge would be to have the horses remain. Mr. Paris said at least 200 feet from a lake, stream, brook or wetland. Mr. Paris pointed out the areas that were an issue and added that they could ride in places that did not impact the water. A brief discussion ensued with regard to the water's edge. Ms. Christiansen added that she would be willing to go out on the trail and clean it once a week and would even pick up the dog feces as well as the garbage that is out there. Chief Phillips stated that the Auburn people are taking it very personal and that the fire department had to take a stance a few years ago because the old fire station became a docking station for horse people coming in with horses and it was littered with manure. Then they moved up to the safety complex and where the skating rinks are was littered with manure so they had to stop that. Chief Phillips did not believe that any Auburn people were to blame but as was stated, the MWW watershed is a very glorified area to ride which you need to police your own. They cannot police everyone because they bar you and they get blamed and it's not fair for him as a Fire Chief to have horse manure where his guys train and crawl around was not fair. Chief Phillips pointed out that the Town of Auburn has no say to what MWW does with their property. Chief Phillips further pointed out that, even when he goes training to do water rescue training he can't just jump in the lake, he has to be totally suited up in an encapsulated suit. That's MWW rules and he will abide by their rules because it's not his land. Chief Phillips suggested that they police their own and get out there and work with the BOS and establish a committee to deal with the MWW and

find a happy solution rather than look to the BOS of the Town of Auburn and believed that the BOS should move the question on. Mr. Sullivan wanted the others to speak. Ms. Kim Remillard talked about manure and animal feces as well as cryptosporidium and ALS as she is a bio-medical science major. Mr. Paris pointed out that the research was provided by DES and Dartmouth College and that he has spoken with DES and the US EPA. Mr. O'Neil also added that they also have data from the Journal of Veterinary Medicine. Mr. O'Neil also stated that the MWW property is open to water fowl hunting and they do allow hunting as the land is open for recreational uses including hunting. Mr. O'Neil indicated that they do have rules in place to pick up dog feces. Ms. Remillard talked about other animals such as beaver's defecating in the water causing cryptosporidium. Mr. O'Neil stated that they have beaver control methods as well. Mr. Sullivan moved on to the next comment. Mr. Ed Socha of Londonderry Turnpike did not think that they cared what anyone says. Mr. Socha asked the BOS what program has the MWW submitted to the town in order for them to close the Class VI roads because by state law when a Class VI road is closed it reverts back to the abutters. Mr. Sullivan answered by saying that the Town of Auburn closed the roads. Mr. Socha stated that everyone has the right to use the road. Mr. Sullivan agreed. A brief discussion ensued with regard to MWW property being closed. Mr. Sullivan informed Mr. Socha that it was MWW property and it was not a town road and they have every right to do what they want to do with their property.

Senator Carson of Londonderry briefly spoke to the BOS by saying that she has spent an inordinate amount of time learning about this issue and earlier this year they had a bill and that Senator Boutin, who was present tonight as well. There were some proposals to close down even some state trails and she has received packets from many of the people here tonight. She has reached out to the Public Utilities Commission which does not oversee MWW but since they are talking about water quality, the Public Utilities Commission does oversee the water quality in the State of New Hampshire. If they are going to close this down then we need facts. Senator Carson further stated that horse manure causing a gene called ALS has been disproved. She has also reached out to the State Veterinarian because she wants to get facts and has also reached out to Senator Jim Rausch who represents the Town of Derry who is also a veterinarian has told her that a 75 foot buffer is adequate and that a 200 feet buffer is astounding. Senator Carson also stated that she will be contacting the Mayor of the City of Manchester as soon as he is well enough as he has just gone through bypass surgery as he is a horse owner who owns race horses. The bill in Concord was tabled as they did not act on that bill and DES reformed their rule based on these people here. Senator Carson believed that what they were saying was falling on deaf ears and stated that she will not stop trying to get through to them. Senator Carson added this was their livelihood as they have been doing this for years. Senator Carson thanked the BOS for the opportunity to speak tonight. Mrs. Marzloff added that MWW is relying on information from EPA that horse manure is dangerous and that's the same people at EPA that stated that bio material sludge is safe which is a contradiction.

Mr. Sullivan believed that he believed that the issue has been address and as he stated in the beginning, this meeting was basically for informational purposes only and that this board does not have any ability to change the way the MWW acts. Mr. Sullivan further added that he hoped that Mr. Paris and Mr. O'Neil would be able to take some of the information back and that it was informal as well.

Jesse Edwards of Rattlesnake Hill Road commented that the real estate property would drop 12% to 20% would be a form of undo taking of property values that should be of concern to the BOS and would encourage the BOS to do something.

Mr. Sullivan thanked everyone for their time and everyone slowly exited the building.

### **Rene Labranche, Stantec Consulting – Project Estimates & Griffin Mill Bridge**

Mr. LaBranche passed out packet consisting of information for the following topics.

#### Margate Road

Mr. LaBranche went through project budget for Margate Road reconstruction and went through cost options with and without town gravel and noted that Margate Road would need to be raised.

#### Lantern Lane

Mr. LaBranche went through project budget for Lantern Lane reconstruction and went through cost options with and without town gravel and that Lantern Lane would also need to be raised in certain areas.

#### Nutt Road

Mr. LaBranche went through project budget for Nutt Road reconstruction and went through cost options with and without town gravel

Mr. LaBranche indicated that they took four (4) samples of bank run gravel and sent them to John Turner Consulting and tested it against NHDOT 304.2 which is Bank Run Gravel and all four (4) samples passed.

Mr. LaBranche informed the BOS how they came up with the estimates for the above referenced road reconstructions.

Mr. Sullivan asked Mr. LaBranche that when they pick a road or two (2) to do could they tie in the drainage together. Mr. LaBranche believed that the drainage for the three (3) roads were independent. A brief discussion ensued with regard to drainage. Mr. Dross agreed that the drainage should be tied in together. Mr. LaBranche noted that the intent is to upsize the Nutt Road culvert. Mr. Eaton stated that he had spoken with Seth Potter when he was out there doing the borings that his idea was that if they did anything that they should do Margate Road first so that they would not have to go up and down it with loaded trucks. Mr. LaBranche said that it is always best not to truck across a newly built road but this is not like a new subdivision where trucks are going in and out all the time. Discussion ensued with regard to picking the roads to be done first. Mr. Eaton asked if they needed to notify abutters if they were going to raise the road. Mr. Dross stated that they usually put out signs and then will talk to the people as they see them and talked about the individual driveways. Mr. Sullivan ended the discussion by saying that the Board will think about it and come to a decision soon. Mr. Dross stated that he needed to know sooner than later to put it out to bid. Mr. Sullivan answered Mr. Dross by saying that the BOS will have a decision by next Monday.

#### Griffin Mill Bridge

Mr. LaBranche passed out a copy of an e-mail from Nancy Mayville of State of New Hampshire

DOT and a Griffin Mill Bridge Funding Options List. Mr. LaBranche went through the three (3) options available with the BOS. Mr. Headd thought that Option #3 was the best option to go with. Mr. Sullivan indicated that they would contact the Fusco's to come in for a meeting so that the BOS could tell them what they're planning on doing. The Board, Mr. Herman and Mr. LaBranche all agreed to notify the Fusco's to advise them which way the BOS will be going and the discussion ended. At this time Mr. Sullivan asked for a motion to sign the application for state funding for bridge construction

***Mr. Headd moved to approve signing the application for state funding for reconstruction of the Griffin Mill Bridge; Mr. Eaton seconded the motion. All were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.***

#### Roadside Solid Waste Pick-up & Disposal

Mr. Herman briefly talked about the packet that was passed out regarding the Policy for Handling Roadside Solid Waste Pick-Up and Disposal and asked the BOS if they had any questions for Mr. LaBranche while he was still present at tonight's meeting. Mr. LaBranche briefly explained that it was a small shed with a floating slab with a ramp with a 12 inch containment so if you had oil, you could drop it off here and you could also store computers and the like. Mr. Sullivan asked Mr. Dross for his thoughts. Mr. Dross indicated that they needed something at least to hold it for a while. Mr. Sullivan believed it was a good start. Mr. Headd also talked about the debris alongside the road near Master Halco and could not believe how bad it was. Mrs. Marzloff stated that they sometimes have picked it up but basically can't keep up with it. Mr. Sullivan wanted to discuss this further next week and asked Ms. Royce to have it placed on next week's agenda.

Mr. Eaton had another question regarding the Griffin Mill Bridge and asked Mr. LaBranche what the engineering cost would be if they went with Option #3. Mr. LaBranche said approximately \$49,000 and change for design engineering plus \$30,000 to comply with all their requirements which would come to approximately \$80,000. Mr. Herman indicated that the design and permitting money is available now, it's the construction amount that is not available until 2017. Discussion ensued with regard to the timetable for reimbursement.

Mrs. Marzloff asked Mr. Herman what the approximate amount of reimbursement the Town of Auburn would be getting back for the Candia Road Bridge. Mr. Herman stated that it was approximately \$225,000.

#### **Adele Frisella – First Quarter 2014 Budget Review**

Ms. Frisella began by going through the Revenue Comparison and informed the Board members that Registry of Motor Vehicles would be higher than what is listed on the revenue sheet. Ms. Frisella pointed out on the expenditures for 2014 that heating oil will be higher. Ms. Frisella indicated that they would be over on repairs and maintenance because they've already had the septic pumped twice this year. At this time, the Board members reviewed the first quarter 2014 budget. Ms. Frisella said that they could review it and get back to her with any questions. Mr. Sullivan asked the Board members if they had any questions. The Board members did not have any questions at this time. Mr. Sullivan said that they will review it and discuss it at next week's meeting and thanked Ms. Frisella for her time.

#### **Old Business**



## **Fire Extinguisher & Alarm System Proposals**

Mr. Herman began by saying that the Fire Chief and Peter Barbuto from the Auburn Village School went over the fire extinguisher and alarm systems proposals. Mr. Herman went through the different proposals and indicated it was determined that instead of one joint contract for all service, the best proposals were determined to award the fire extinguisher work to Tri-State Fire Protection of Nashua and the alarm system work to Barlen Electronics of Chester. The total costs were within the spending authority of the Director of Maintenance for the Auburn Village School and the Town Administrator, so the contracts have been awarded. Mr. Herman asked Chief Phillips for his comments. Chief Phillips began by saying that he did not have anything to add other than they were going to be putting out proposals sometime in September for heating oil and heating repairs to try and save some more money. Mr. Sullivan asked the Board if there were any questions. None were noted.

## **Website Link Suggestion**

Mr. Sullivan pointed out that they had a website link suggestion presented to the Board and asked the Board members if they had any comments. The Board did not have a problem with the suggestion. Mr. Herman said that the suggestion was sent by Mr. Bob Hammerstroms.

## **New Business**

- Mr. Sullivan asked if there was any new business to discuss. None were noted.

## **Minutes**

### **April 17, 2014 Public Meeting**

**Mr. Headd moved to approve the minutes of the April 17<sup>th</sup>, 2014 public meeting as amended; Mr. Eaton seconded the motion. All were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.**

### **April 21, 2014 Public Meeting**

***Mr. Eaton moved to approve the minutes of the April 21<sup>st</sup>, 2014 public meeting as written; Mr. Headd seconded the motion. All were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.***

### **April 21, 2014 Non-Public Meeting**

***Mr. Eaton moved to approve the minutes of the April 21<sup>st</sup>, 2014 non-public meeting as written; Mr. Headd seconded the motion. All were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.***

## **Other Business**

- Mr. Sullivan asked if there was any other business to be discussed. None were noted. With nothing else to discuss publicly, Mr. Sullivan stated that they would be going into Non-Public Session RSA 91-A: 3, II (c). At this time the public exited the meeting and the Board entered into Non-Public Session.

**Non-Public Session – Reputation of any Person other than a member of the Board (RSA 91-A: 3, II ( c )**

***Mr. Headd moved to enter into Non-Public Session at 9:09 p.m. pursuant to the provisions of RSA 91-A: 3, II ( c ). Seconded by Mr. Eaton. A roll call vote was taken: Mr. Headd, Yes; Mr. Eaton – Yes; Mr. Sullivan – Yes.***

***Mr. Headd moved to exit Non-Public Session at 9:15 p.m.; Mr. Eaton seconded the motion; A roll call vote was taken; Mr. Headd – yes, Mr. Eaton – yes, Mr. Sullivan – yes. The motion passed.***

***Mr. Headd moved to seal the minutes of the Non-Public Session; Mr. Eaton seconded the motion; all were in favor, the motion passed unanimously.***

**Adjourn**

***Mr. Eaton moved to adjourn; Mr. Headd seconded the motion; all were in favor, the meeting adjourned at 9:17 p.m.***